



**CODA-CERVA**

VETERINARY AND AGROCHEMICAL RESEARCH CENTRE

GROESELBERG 99 – B 1180 BRUSSELS (UKKEL)

TEL: +32 (0)2 379 04 11

FAX : + 32 (0)2 379 06 70

HTTP: // WWW.CODA-CERVA.BE



172-PT

## **PROFICIENCY TESTING 2016**

***SALMONELLA (SAL)***

***Isolation of Salmonella sp. from organs***

**CODA-CERVA-UCCLE**

**DATE BEGIN PT: 10 OCTOBER 2016**

**DATE REPORT: 22 NOVEMBER 2016**

## I. Introduction

Details relevant to the proficiency test (PT) are available in the procedure PRO/2.5/01 'Beheer van de proficiency testen op het CODA-CERVA-Ukkel/Gestion des essais d'aptitude au CODA-CERVA-Uccle', which is summarized in the 'Manual for the participant'.

## II. Aim

The aim of this PT was to evaluate the ability of the participating laboratories to identify the absence or presence of *Salmonella* spp. (*Salmonella Pullorum* and *Salmonella Gallinarum*) in organs (liver).

## III. Materials and methods

### III.1. Conduct of diagnostic tests

In the framework of this PT, predefined organ (liver) samples must be analyzed by means of *Salmonella* isolation tests as described in the instructions, provided by the PT provider, and the laboratory instructions. The procedures for the isolation tests must be fully described in the SOPs of the participating laboratories.

### III.2. Reference samples

Different packages of liver (chicken) were bought in a department store (Delhaize) and were homogenized, aliquoted per  $10 \pm 1$  g and stored in the freezer. Two times twelve aliquots were analyzed on the 3<sup>th</sup> of October for the presence of *Salmonella* spp. by the *Salmonella* reference laboratory of CODA-CERVA-Uccle, hereby following the methods, described in the laboratory SOPs. Since all tested aliquots were found negative for the presence of *Salmonella* spp., the collected organs (liver) were considered as *Salmonella* negative and hence the remaining aliquots as suitable for the PT.

On the 10<sup>th</sup> of October 2016 (start date of the PT), 60 aliquots of liver samples were prepared and randomized, either for the PT (30 aliquots) or the verification tests that had to be performed by the *Salmonella* reference laboratory of CODA-CERVA-Uccle in parallel with the PT (30 aliquots):

- 18 aliquots (12 for the PT and 6 for the verification tests) were used as such and considered as negative liver samples ('PT2016SALBACNO1')
- 12 aliquots (6 for the PT and 6 for the verification tests) were inoculated with a  $10^{-1}$  dilution of *Salmonella Pullorum* and were considered as strong positive liver samples for *Salmonella Pullorum* ('PT2016SALBACPO1').
- 9 aliquots (3 for the PT and 6 for the verification tests) were inoculated with a  $10^{-2}$  dilution of *Salmonella Pullorum* and were considered as weak positive liver samples for *Salmonella Pullorum* ('PT2016SALBACPO2').
- 12 aliquots (6 for the PT and 6 for the verification tests) were inoculated with a  $10^{-1}$  dilution of *Salmonella Gallinarum* and were considered as strong positive liver samples for *Salmonella Gallinarum* ('PT2016SALBACPO3').
- 9 aliquots (3 for the PT and 6 for the verification tests) were inoculated with a  $10^{-3}$  dilution of *Salmonella Gallinarum* and were considered as weak positive liver samples for *Salmonella Gallinarum* ('PT2016SALBACPO4').

In total, 30 aliquots of liver samples were distributed to 3 participating laboratories. All participants were given 10 aliquots of liver samples: 4 aliquots of the negative liver sample PT2016SALBACNO1, 1 aliquot of the weak positive liver samples PT2016SALBACPO2 (*Salmonella Pullorum*) and PT2016SALBACPO4 (*Salmonella Gallinarum*) and 2 aliquots of the strong positive liver samples PT2016SALBACPO1 (*Salmonella Pullorum*) and PT2016SALBACPO3 (*Salmonella Gallinarum*).

For this PT, the verification tests were performed in parallel on samples sent out the same day as the samples sent to the participants (cfr. Manual for the participant, section III.1). Therefore, the *Salmonella* reference laboratory of CODA-CERVA-Uccle tested 3 aliquots of each sample (PT2016SALBACNO1, PT2016SALBACPO1, PT2016SALBACPO2, PT2016SALBACPO3 and PT2016SALBACPO4) for the presence of *Salmonella* on both 10<sup>th</sup> (day 1) and 11<sup>th</sup> (day 2) of October 2016, in order to verify the status of the sent liver samples.

### **III.3. Classification of results, level of agreement and threshold for qualification**

#### **III.3.1. Classification of results**

Results provided by the participating laboratories are categorized as *success* when the reported result matches with the assigned status or *failure* when the reported result does not match with the assigned status.

#### **III.3.2. Level of agreement**

The level of agreement achieved by the participating laboratories is expressed as the percentage of *success* for the 10 aliquots of liver samples used for this PT.

#### **III.3.3. Threshold for qualification**

Following the procedure, a participating laboratory is only qualified if the participant is able to classify all samples correctly (100% agreement) if the samples are strong positive (PT2016SALBACPO1, PT2014SALBACPO3). For the negative (PT2016SALBACNO1) and weak positive samples (PT2016SALBACPO2, PT2016SALBACPO4) only 1 misclassification is allowed.

## **IV. Results**

For confidentiality reasons, the participating laboratories are quoted anonymously and the concordance table is safely kept at the CODA-CERVA-Uccle.

### **IV.1. Transfer and start of the analyses of the reference samples**

The 10 aliquots of liver samples were sent at  $5\pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$  to each of the 3 participating laboratories by national courier on 10<sup>th</sup> of October 2016 (30 aliquots in total). All laboratories acknowledged receipt of the samples on the same day. Analyses were started on 10<sup>th</sup> of October 2016 (Table 1).

### **IV.2. Dates at which results were returned to the CODA-CERVA-Uccle**

Results from the participating laboratories have been received between 19<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> of October 2016. LAB2 hereby exceeded the deadline of 21<sup>th</sup> of October 2016 for submission of the results (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Overview of the dates on which (i) the liver samples were received and analyzed by the participating laboratories, and (ii) the obtained results were submitted to the CODA-CERVA-Uccle.

<b>Laboratory</b>	<b>Reference samples received</b>	<b>Start of analysis</b>	<b>Submission of the results (Excel file)</b>
<b>LAB1</b>	10/10/2016	10/10/2016	19/10/2016
<b>LAB2</b>	10/10/2016	10/10/2016	<b>24/10/2016</b>
<b>LAB3</b>	10/10/2016	10/10/2016	20/10/2016

### **IV.3. Compliance with the procedure**

Except LAB3, all participating laboratories have provided a duly dated and signed copy of the results.

### **IV.4. Qualitative data analysis**

#### **IV.4.1. Level of agreement**

Qualitative data analysis showed that all participating laboratories provided qualitative results that were in full agreement with the assigned status of the liver samples (100% of agreement) (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Agreement between results obtained by the participating laboratories (LABNR) and the status of the liver samples assigned by the *Salmonella* reference laboratory of CODA-CERVA-Uccle. All participating laboratories received 10 aliquots of liver samples. Results are presented as absolute values and percentages (in parentheses).

	LABNR		
	1	2	3
<b>failure</b>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<b>success</b>	10 (100%)	10 (100%)	10 (100%)

#### IV.4.2. Variability among participating laboratories

No variability in qualitative laboratory results could be observed between participating laboratories since all participants reached 100% of agreement for the detection of reference liver samples.

For each participating laboratory, the obtained results and the assigned statuses for the liver samples are shown in Table 3.

**Table 3.** The responses (RESULT) of the participating laboratories (LABNR) with the internal identification of the liver samples (SAMPLE), the external identification of the liver samples (LABPOSIT), and the status assigned by the *Salmonella* reference laboratory of CODA-CERVA-Uccle (STATUS). NEG: negative; POS: positive.

	LABNR	LABPOSIT	SAMPLE	STATUS	RESULT	SUCCESS
1	1	1	PT2016SALBACPO1	POS	POS	1
2	1	2	PT2016SALBACPO3	POS	POS	1
3	1	3	PT2016SALBACNO1	NEG	NEG	1
4	1	4	PT2016SALBACPO1	POS	POS	1
5	1	5	PT2016SALBACNO1	NEG	NEG	1
6	1	6	PT2016SALBACPO4	POS	POS	1
7	1	7	PT2016SALBACPO3	POS	POS	1
8	1	8	PT2016SALBACNO1	NEG	NEG	1
9	1	9	PT2016SALBACPO2	POS	POS	1
10	1	10	PT2016SALBACNO1	NEG	NEG	1
11	2	1	PT2016SALBACNO1	NEG	NEG	1
12	2	2	PT2016SALBACPO1	POS	POS	1
13	2	3	PT2016SALBACNO1	NEG	NEG	1
14	2	4	PT2016SALBACPO4	POS	POS	1
15	2	5	PT2016SALBACNO1	NEG	NEG	1
16	2	6	PT2016SALBACPO3	POS	POS	1
17	2	7	PT2016SALBACPO1	POS	POS	1
18	2	8	PT2016SALBACNO1	NEG	NEG	1
19	2	9	PT2016SALBACPO3	POS	POS	1
20	2	10	PT2016SALBACPO2	POS	POS	1

(Table 3 - CONTINUED)

	LABNR	LABPOSIT	SAMPLE	STATUS	RESULT	SUCCESS
21	3	1	PT2016SALBACPO1	POS	POS	1
22	3	2	PT2016SALBACPO3	POS	POS	1
23	3	3	PT2016SALBACNO1	NEG	NEG	1
24	3	4	PT2016SALBACPO1	POS	POS	1
25	3	5	PT2016SALBACNO1	NEG	NEG	1
26	3	6	PT2016SALBACPO4	POS	POS	1
27	3	7	PT2016SALBACPO3	POS	POS	1
28	3	8	PT2016SALBACNO1	NEG	NEG	1
29	3	9	PT2016SALBACPO2	POS	POS	1
30	3	10	PT2016SALBACNO1	NEG	NEG	1

## V. Discussion

The purpose of this PT was to assess the performances of the participating laboratories when analyzing organ (liver) samples for the detection of *Salmonella* spp. (*Salmonella Pullorum* and *Salmonella Gallinarum*) by bacteriological isolation.

All participating laboratories provided qualitative results that were in full agreement with the assigned status of the reference liver samples (100% of agreement) (Table 2 and Table 3).

## VI. Conclusions

According to the procedure currently in force, the performance of a participating laboratory is satisfactory if, with relation to the status of the liver samples assigned by the *Salmonella* reference laboratory of CODA-CERVA-Uccle, no mistakes were made for the strong positive liver samples and maximum 1 mistake for both the weak positive and the negative liver samples (see III.3.3.). Consequently, all participants achieved a satisfactory performance for the isolation of *Salmonella* spp. from liver.

Coordinator proficiency tests

Katia Knapen



# Appendix

## Name of the participating laboratories

Association Régionale de Santé et d'Identification Animales (ARSIA) (Ciney, Belgium)

Dierengezondheidszorg Vlaanderen (DGZ) (Torhout, Belgium)

Veterinary and Agrochemical Research Center (CODA-CERVA) (Ukkel, Belgium)